

Encyclopedia of Case Study Research

Pattern Matching

Contributors: Tony Hak & Jan Dul
Editors: Albert J. Mills & Gabrielle Durepos & Eiden Wiebe
Book Title: Encyclopedia of Case Study Research
Chapter Title: "Pattern Matching"
Pub. Date: 2010
Access Date: October 15, 2013
Publishing Company: SAGE Publications, Inc.
City: Thousand Oaks
Print ISBN: 9781412956703
Online ISBN: 9781412957397
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781412957397.n249>
Print pages: 664-666

This PDF has been generated from SAGE Research Methods. Please note that the pagination of the online version will vary from the pagination of the print book.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781412957397.n249>

Pattern matching is the comparison of two patterns to determine whether they match (i.e., that they are the same) or do not match (i.e., that they differ). Pattern matching is the core procedure of theory-testing with cases. Testing consists of matching an *observed pattern* (a pattern of measured values) with an *expected pattern* (a hypothesis) and deciding whether these patterns match (resulting in a confirmation of the hypothesis) or do not match (resulting in a disconfirmation). Essential to pattern matching (as opposed to *pattern recognition*, a procedure by which theory is built) is that the expected pattern is precisely specified before the matching takes place.

Conceptual Overview and Discussion

The Concept of Pattern Matching

A *pattern* is any arrangement of objects or entities. The term *arrangement* indicates that a pattern is nonrandom. Theories predict some pattern of values of variables. Such predictions are usually called *hypotheses*. The term *expected pattern* will be used here for specifications of the hypothesis that allow for a rigorous comparison with an observed pattern of values of variables in a test.

Donald T. Campbell coined the term *pattern identification* as a characteristic of qualitative analysis that he defined as *holistic* (i.e., analyzing the pattern) rather than *atomistic* (i.e., analyzing its constituents). He argued that the single case study design could provide for a strong test of a theory if an entire set of expectations deduced from that theory (which together would constitute an expected pattern) could be shown to be true in that case. Campbell also called this a *configurational approach*. He insisted that qualitative analysis in this design tends to disconfirm rather than [p. 664 ↓] confirm a prior belief because of the requirement that, in the test, each separate element of a pattern or configuration that is observed is exactly as expected. As noted by Thomas D. Cook and Donald T. Campbell, the strength of this nonequivalent, dependent variables design is precisely that the variables that constitute the pattern or configuration are nonequivalent, that is, not substitutable.

Yin's Approach to Pattern Matching

Robert Yin discussed pattern matching as the most desirable analytic strategy in case study research. He identified two main types of pattern matching in theory-testing: (1) the pattern in a nonequivalent *dependent* variables design (in which the initially predicted value must be found for each element of a pattern of dependent variables) and (2) the pattern in a nonequivalent *independent* variables design. An example of the latter is a pattern derived from a typological or configurational theory in management. Yin stated that pattern matching in the *dependent* variables design should be rigorous, such that the hypothesis is disconfirmed even if only one variable of the pattern does not behave as predicted. For the *independent* variables design, however, he recommended a different approach. Yin stated that one should formulate different expected patterns of independent variables, each based on a different and mutually exclusive (rival) theory, and that the concern of the case study would be to determine which of the rival patterns has the largest overlap with the observed one. An additional complication in this approach is that Yin presented some examples in which the rival pattern represents not a real (theoretical) explanation but rather a version of a null hypothesis.

Application

Independent Variable Designs

Campbell's and Yin's approaches to pattern matching are implicitly limited to the testing of propositions about characteristics of single cases (which can be tested in single cases) and not about differences between cases (see the Theory-Testing With Cases entry, this volume). Expected and observed patterns, therefore, consist of values of variables that all pertain to the single case. The simplest type of an independent variable pattern consists of the expected value of only one independent variable (rather than of a number of variables), given the value of a dependent variable. There are

only two propositions on which such single-point expected patterns can be based: (1) necessary condition propositions and (2) sufficient condition propositions.

Necessary condition propositions state that an Outcome Y is possible only if Condition X is present. To test such a proposition, a case must be selected in which Outcome Y is present. The expected pattern is: X is present. (Note that the proposition does not entail any prediction about conditions of the absence of Y.) The researcher observes whether X is present in the selected case. The observed pattern is either that X is present or that X is absent. Pattern matching in this case consists of checking what the value of X is in the observed pattern.

Sufficient condition propositions state that an Outcome Y is always present when Condition X is present. To test such a proposition, a case must be selected in which Outcome Y is absent. (Note that the proposition does not entail any prediction about conditions of the presence of Y.) The expected pattern is: X is absent. Pattern matching in this case consists of checking what the value of X is in the observed pattern.

Configurational theories usually specify a number of conditions that together (i.e., in a configuration) must be present for an outcome to exist. If a configuration consists of, say, four elements, these can be seen as four separate necessary conditions. Four single-point independent variable patterns could be specified and tested as just described for the necessary condition proposition, but it is also possible to specify a single four-point pattern (e.g., [A+/B+/C+/D+]) that is expected to be observed in a case in which the outcome is present. The observed and the expected patterns do not match if any of the four variables is absent and, in such a case, the hypothesis is disconfirmed.

Process theories are a type of configurational theory in which not only the presence of a number of conditions is specified but also their temporal order. The expected pattern in a case in which Y is present is, for instance, [A+ → B+ → C+ → D+]. The observed pattern must reflect the temporal [p. 665 ↓] order in which Conditions A, B, C, and D occurred (if at all), and a match is confirmed only if A, B, C, and D have the same temporal place in the expected and the observed pattern.

Dependent Variable Designs

Similar to independent variable designs, the simplest type of a pattern in a dependent variable design consists of the expected value of only one dependent variable, given the value of an independent variable. Here also, such single-point expected patterns can only be based on a necessary condition proposition or a sufficient condition proposition. To test a necessary condition proposition in a dependent variable design, a case must be selected in which Condition X is absent. The expected pattern is: Y is absent. Pattern matching in this case consists of checking whether Outcome Y is absent in the observed pattern. To test a sufficient condition proposition in a dependent variable design, a case must be selected in which Condition X is present. Pattern matching in this case consists of checking whether Outcome Y is present in the observed pattern.

As mentioned earlier, Campbell used the term *configuration* for a pattern of dependent variables. Pattern matching with a configuration of dependent variables in a case in which Condition X is present consists of checking whether each of the dependent variables has the expected value. If a temporal order is expected in the configuration of dependent variables, then pattern matching additionally consists of checking whether the observed outcomes have occurred in the expected order.

However, dependent variable single case study designs are relatively rare in practice because most theorists and researchers, even those who adhere to necessary condition hypotheses (i.e., those who believe in constraints on outcomes that can be theorized and tested), do not believe that sufficient conditions are credible in the social sciences. Most researchers frame the outcomes that follow certain conditions as (more) likely rather than as inevitable. The dependent variable designs discussed so far cannot be used for testing probabilistic propositions. However, pattern matching can also be used as a testing procedure in a sample case study design (see the Theory-Testing With Cases entry, this volume).

Critical Summary

Every hypothesis derived from a proposition can be formulated as an *expected pattern*, which specifies the values of one or more variables (either independent or dependent) that should be observed in a case (or a sample) if the hypothesis is true. Pattern matching, therefore, is the core procedure in every theory-testing study.

Tony Hak and Jan Dul

<http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781412957397.n249>

See also

Further Readings

Campbell, D. T. (1966). Pattern matching as an essential in distal knowing. In K. R. Hammond (Ed.), *The psychology of Egon Brunsvik* (pp. pp. 81–106). New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.

Campbell, D. T. Degrees of freedom and the case study. *Comparative Political Studies*, (1975). vol. 8, pp. 178–193.

Cook, T. D. Campbell, D. T. (1969). *Quasi-experimentation: Design and analysis issues for field settings*. Chicago: Rand McNally.

Dul, J. Hak, T. (2008). *Case study research methodology in business research*. Oxford, UK: Butterworth-Heinemann.

Trochim, W. M. K. Outcome pattern matching and program theory. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, (1989). vol. 12, pp. 355–366.

Yin, R. K. (1984). *Case study research: Design and methods*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.